Hagen, Y. 1952. The birds of Tristan da Cunha. Res. Norwegian Sci. Exped. Tristan da Cunha, 1937–1938 20: 1–248.

Helyer, P. J. 1981. Tristan da Cunha, South Atlantic. Unpubl. Ms. Available from the author, Tristan Cottage, Central Lydbrook, GL7 9PP, UK.

Holdgate, M. W. 1965. The fauna of the Tristan da Cunha islands. Phil Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B 249: 361–424.

Lowe, P. R. 1928. A description of *Atlantisia rogersi*, the diminutive and flightless rail of Inaccessible Island (South Atlantic) with some notes on flightless rails. *Ibis* (12)4:

Mathews, G. M. 1932. The birds of Tristan da Cunha. Novit. Zool. 38: 13-48.

Moors, P. J. & Atkinson, I. A. E. 1984. Predation on seabirds by introduced animals, and factors affecting its severity. *ICBP Tech. Publ.* 2: 667–690.

Olson, S. L. 1973. Evolution of the rails of the South Atlantic Islands (Aves: Rallidae). Smithsonian Contrib. Zool. 152: 1-53.

Richardson, M. E. 1984. Aspects of the ornithology of the Tristan da Cunha group and Gough Island, 1972–1974. *Cormorant* 12: 123–201.

Rogers, R. A. 1926. The Lonely Isle. Allen & Unwin, London.

Rothschild, Lord. 1928. (Exhibited egg and skin of Flightless Rail *Atlantisia rogersi* from Tristan da Cunha). *Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl.* 48: 121–124.

Ryan, P. G. & Moloney, C. L. 1991. Prey selection and temporal variation in the diet of Subantarctic Skuas at Inaccessible island, Tristan da Cunha. Ostrich 62: 52–58.

Ryan, P. G., Watkins, B. P. & Siegfried, W. R. 1989. Morphometrics, metabolic rate and body temperature of the smallest flightless bird: the Inaccessible Island Rail. *Condor* 91: 465–467.

Siddall, C. P. 1985. Survey of Inaccessible Island, Tristan da Cunha Group. *Polar Rec.* 22: 528–531.

Wace, N. M. 1986a. The rat problem on oceanic islands—research is needed. Oryx 20: 79–86.

Wace, N. M. 1986b. Rat hunt on Gough Island. Polar Rec. 23: 85-87.

Wace, N. M. & Holdgate, M.W. 1976. Man and nature in the Tristan da Cunha islands. *IUCN monogr.* 6: 1–114.

Watkins, B. P. & Furness, R.W. 1986. Population status, breeding and conservation of the Gough Moorhen. *Ostrich* 57: 32–36.

Addresses: M. W. Fraser, "Sandbanks", Kenmuir Steps, Glencairn 7995, South Africa; W. R. J. Dean, FitzPatrick Institute, Univ. of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7700, South Africa; I. C. Best, Box 25200, Awali, Bahrain, Arabian Gulf.

© British Ornithologists' Club 1992

Comments on the nomenclature and dates of publication of some taxa in Bucerotidae

by M. Ralph Browning

Received 5 April 1991

Modern literature on Bucerotidae contains several scientific names that are used or cited incorrectly. The most notable problem is the concurrent use of two different specific names for the Southern Ground Hornbill (*Bucorvus*), a species occurring from Kenya to northern Angola, Zimbabwe, Mozambique and South Africa.

Specific name of the Southern Ground Hornbill

Historically the Southern Ground Hornbill has been known by three specific names. *Bucorvus cafer* (Schlegel, 1862) was used by most early

authors (e.g. Ogilvie-Grant in Ogilvie-Grant & Sclater 1892, Sclater 1924). Roberts (1926) proposed the name Bucorvus schlegeli for the species to replace cafer Schlegel, a name he believed to be preoccupied by caffer Sundevall (1851). However, because Sundevall did not use the term "caffer" as a scientific name (Gyldenstolpe 1926, Sclater 1930, Friedmann 1930), most authors (e.g. Chapin 1939, Roberts 1940) continued to use cafer. Peters (1945), on the other hand, listed the Southern Ground Hornbill as Buceros leadbeateri Vigors, 1825, a name that was infrequently cited in the early literature (e.g. Cabanis & Heine 1859–1860, Finsch & Hartlaub 1870, Elliot 1877–1882) as a synonym of *Bucorvus* abyssinicus (Boddaert, 1783), the Northern Ground Hornbill, which occurs from Sierra Leone to Senegambia, Nigeria, Sudan, Kenya and Ethiopia. Sanft (1960) disagreed with Peters, again synonymized leadbeateri with abyssinicus, and used the name cafer for the southern

The specific names cafer and leadbeateri are in concurrent use in the recent literature for the Southern Ground Hornbill. The name cafer is used by some authors (e.g. Devillers 1977, Benson & Benson 1977, Kemp & Crowe 1985, Kemp 1988a, Lewis & Pomerov 1989, Short et al. 1990), and the name leadbeateri by others (e.g. Clancey 1964, 1980, Kemp 1974, 1979, 1982, Kemp & Kemp 1980, Newman 1983, Irwin 1981, Maclean 1985, Vernon 1986, Wood & Schnell 1986, Tarboton et al. 1987, Williams 1988, Ginn et al. 1989). Schmidl (1982) lists the species as "cafer (=leadbeateri)" and Walters (1980:119) lists the species as "leadbeateri ... (=B. cafer)." A recent ornithological text book (Gill 1990) and recent issues of Zoological Record refer to the species as B. leadbeateri. The International Species Information System (ISIS), a list of species used by zoos, lists the species as leadbeateri. None of these sources comments on their reason for using either name. Because of the continuing various applications of cafer and leadbeateri, a review follows.

When Peters (1945: 272) revived leadbeateri for the southern species, he commented only that the locality "Africa interiori Septentrionali" (Vigors 1825: 460) was "almost certainly an error for 'Meridionali', the description applies to the South African bird." Sanft (1960) stated (in translation) that it was evident from Vigors' (1825) description of the colour ("fusconigrae") and bill length ("ad frontem $7\frac{3}{4}$ unc") that *leadbeateri* applies to a juvenile; because the locality included "Septentrionali", he concluded

that Vigors' specimen was of B. abyssinicus.

Immatures of both species of ground hornbills and worn females of the Southern Ground Hornbill are sooty-brown, and the bill length (197 mm) of Vigors' specimen is within the range of adult females of both species (Kemp 1988a). Although not mentioned by Sanft (1960), Vigors (1825) described the soft parts of *leadbeateri* as "regione ophthalmicâ guttureque nudis coccineis, caeruleo-variegatis ..." The differences between the species of ground hornbills are summarized in Table 1.

Vigors (1825) described the bill of leadbeateri as black. The bill in both species of ground hornbills is black, but there is a pale spot on the proximal end of the upper mandible in B. abyssinicus (Table 1). Kemp (1979) characterized the spot as yellow, but the spot is more reddish than yellow (C. Falzone, in litt.; colour slides on file). In old specimens the spot

TABLE 1

Colouration of the circumorbital and gular skin and colour of the upper mandible in Bucorvus abyssinicus and the Southern Ground Hornbill¹

Characters	Bucorvus abyssinicus	Southern Ground Hornbill
Skin colour		
immatures adults	blue grey	pale grey
males	blue, some red spots on throat; acquired in 1–3 years	red; acquired in 3 years
females	dark blue; acquired as in males	red, sometimes violet-blue patches on side of face; acquired in 6 years
Upper mandible	black with reddish- yellow spot	entirely black

¹Sources: Kemp (1979), Kemp and Kemp (1980), Kemp in Fry et al. (1988), C. Falzone (in litt.)

is yellowish. The spot in *B. abyssinicus* appears as a small paler colour in chicks, becomes larger and reddish in six months, and is similar in size and colour to that of the adult in two-year-old birds that still lack the

opened cylindrical casque of adults (C. Falzone, in litt.).

I conclude that Vigors' (1825) description of the facial colours and colour of the upper mandible cannot apply to *B. abyssinicus*. Although Vigors (*op. cit.*) used the term "Septentrionali" for the locality of *leadbeateri*, he may have been referring to what is now the northern part of the range (e.g. Kenya) of the Southern Ground Hornbill, or he may have simply erred. I agree with Peters (1945) that regardless of the original locality, the original description of *leadbeateri* applies to the southern species of *Bucorvus*. Therefore, the Southern Ground Hornbill should be known as *Bucorvus leadbeateri* (Vigors, 1825), with the type locality Lower Bushman River, eastern Cape, South Africa, as restricted by Vincent (1952).

Generic name for the species birostris, griseus and gingalensis

Gloger (1841–42) proposed the generic name Meniceros for Buceros rhinoceros and Bonaparte (1854) used the generic name as "Meniceros, Gloger.-34. ginginianus, Lath." Kemp (1979) and Kemp & Crowe (1985) adopted Meniceros Bonaparte, 1854 as the generic name for the species birostris and griseus from India and for gingalensis (now a subspecies of griseus). However, Meniceros Bonaparte does not constitute a new generic name; it is merely a new combination and does not affect authorship of the name (International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature 1985, Art. 50(c) (i)). Kemp (1988b) correctly used Ocyceros Hume, 1873 (type species by subsequent designation, Buceros birostris Scopoli), as the earliest available generic name for birostris, griseus and gingalensis.

Correct spelling of the specific name minillae

The name minillae, used for a subspecies of Penelepides panini in Peters (1945) and a full species in Kemp & Crowe (1985) from Luzon, Philippine Islands, was spelled as minillae by some authors (e.g. Sanft 1960, Kemp & Crowe 1985) but as minillae by most authors (e.g. Peters 1945, du Pont 1971, Gonzales 1983). Kemp (1988b: 325, Figs 3 and 6) spelled the name as "minillae" in the figures but in the captions added "Read minilloe instead of minillae." The name was originally proposed by Boddaert (1783) with the ligature as α (= ae), not α .

Validity of Rhynchaceros Gloger, 1841

Kemp & Crowe (1985) used the name Rhynchaceros Gloger as a subgeneric name for Tockus hemprichii, T. fasciatus, T. bradfieldi, and T. alboterminatus. Roberts (1931: 240) considered the name Rhynchaceros Gloger preoccupied. He commented only that "this will be discussed in another paper . . " and proposed Protokus as a new generic name. Peters (1945), who synonymized Protokus and Rhynchaceros Gloger, 1841 with Tokus, concluded that Roberts' (op. cit.) comment "apparently" referred to Rhynchoceros Berthold, in Lattreille, 1825. Although not stated by Peters (op. cit.), or discussed later by Roberts, Berthold's (in Lattreille 1825) proposal of the name as "Rhychoceros" [sic] followed only by "[Tadorne]" does not constitute a valid name (I. C. Z. N. 1985, Art. 12(c)). Therefore, Gloger's name must stand, with Protokus as a junior synonym.

Correct dates of some original descriptions

The following names were cited incorrectly by Peters (1945), Sanft

(1960), or others:

Buceros convexus Temminck, 1831. Sanft (op. cit.) gave the source as 1832 and plate 520, livraison 89 of Temminck's "Planches coloriées." The name convexus was first proposed in livraison 88, dated 1831

(Sherborn 1898).

Bycanistes subquatratus Cabinis, 1881. The original description of subquatratus was in the Journal für Ornithologie Heft 4 for 1880, the year given by Peters and Sanft. The early Hefte of this journal were issued irregularly or distributed late (see Browning & Monroe 1991). Because Heft 3 of the Journal für Ornithologie appeared in December 1880 (Reichenow & Schalow 1882), it is reasonable to assume that Heft 4 would not have appeared until early in 1881.

Rhinoplax Gloger, 1841 and Rhynchaceros Gloger, 1841. Peters (1945) gave the source for both generic names as "Gloger, Hand-und Hilfsb. Naturg., 1842 (1841)." The sixth part of Gloger's work, i.e. up to p. 476, was issued in October 1841 (Charles W. Richmond, notes in Division of Birds, Smithsonian Institution), and the title should be abbreviated as

Gemein, Handb, und Hilfsb, (Browning & Monroe 1991).

Acknowledgements

I thank C. Falzone, Dallas (Texas) Zoo, for her comments and colour slides on the soft part and bill colouration in *Bucoreus*. Appreciation is extended to K. Hastings (ISIS) for her comments on the scientific names of the Southern Ground Hornbill. I also thank C. Falzone and S.L. Olson for reading an early draft of the manuscript and R.C. Banks, who made many useful comments and suggestions for the present version.

References:

Benson, C. W. & Benson, F. M. 1977. The Birds of Malawi. Montfort Press, Limbe, Malawi. Boddaert, M. 1783. Tables des Plances Enluminéez d'Histoire Naturelle, de M. D'Aubenton. Utrecht

26

Bonaparte, C. L. 1854. Conspectus Volucrum anisodactylorum. Atteneo Ital., no. 11: 1–16. [reprint]

Browning, M. R. & Monroe, B. L., Jr. 1991. Corrections and clarifications to dates of publications on North American birds. Archives of Natural History 18: 381–405.
 Cabanis, J. & Heine, F. 1859–1860. Museum Heineanum. Pt. 2: 3–175. Halberstadt.

Chapin, J. P. 1939. The birds of the Belgian Congo. Pt. 2. Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 75.

Clancey, P. A. 1964. The Birds of Natal and Zululand. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh.
Clancey, P. A. (ed.) 1980. S. A.O. S. Checklist of Southern African Birds. Southern Africa.

Clancey, P. A. (ed.) 1980. S.A.O.S. Checklist of Southern African Birds. Southern African Orn. Soc., Pretoria.
 Devillers, P. 1977. Project de nomenclature Française des oiseaux du monde 5, Trogonidés

aux Picidés. Gerfaut 67: 469–489.

du Pont, J. E. 1971. Philippine Birds. Delaware Mus. Nat. Hist. Monogr. Ser. no. 2.

Elliot, D. G. 1877–1882. A Monograph of the Bucerotidae, or Family of Hornbills. Privately publ., London.

Finsch, O. & Hartlaub, G. 1870. Die Vögel Ost-Afrikas. C.F. Winter'sche Verlagshandlung, Leipzig und Heidelberg.

Leipzig und Heidelberg.

Friedmann, H. 1930. Birds collected by the Childs Frick Expedition to Ethiopia and Kenya Colony. Pt. 1. Non-passeres. *Bull. U.S. Natl Mus.* 153.

Gill, F. B. 1990. Ornithology. W.H. Freeman and Co., New York.

Ginn, P. J., McIlleron, W. G. & Milstein, P. le S. (eds) 1989. The Complete Book of Southern African Birds. Struik, Winchester, Cape Town.

Gloger, C. W. L. 1841-1842. Gemeinnütziges Hand-und Hilfsbuch der Naturgeschichte. Verlag von Aug. Schulz und Co., Breslau.

Gonzales, P. C. 1983 Birds of Catanduanes, revised edn. Natl Mus. Manila, Philippines, Zool. Papers no. 2.
 Gyldenstolpe, N. 1926. Types of birds in the Royal Natural History Museum in Stockholm.

Arkiv för Zoologi 19A(1): 1–115.

International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature. 1985. International Code on Zoological Nomenclature, 3rd edn. International Trust Zool. Nomencl., London.

Irwin, M. P. S. 1981. The Birds of Zimbabwe. Quest Publ., Harare, Zimbabwe.

Kemp, A. C. 1974. The distribution and status of the birds of the Kruger National Park. Koedoe, Monogr. no. 2.

Kemp, A. C. 1979. A review of the hornbills: biology and radiation. *Living Bird* 17: 105–136.

Kemp, A. C. 1982. The Birds of South Africa. Winchester Press, Johannesburg.

Kemp, A. C. 1988a. Bucerotidae. Pp. 375–413 in C. H. Fry, S. Keith & E. K. Urban (eds), The Birds of Africa. Vol. 3. Academic Press, London.

Kemp, A. C. 1988b. The systemics and zoogeography of Oriental and Australasian hornbills (Aves: Bucerotidae). *Bonn. Zool. Beitr.* 39: 315–345.

Kemp, A. C. & Crowe, T. M. 1985. The systematics and zoogeography of Afrotropical hornbills (Aves: Bucerotidae). Proc. Int. Symp. African Vertebr., Bonn, 1985: 279–324.
 Kemp, A. C. & Kemp, M. I. 1980. The biology of the Southern Ground Hornbill Bucorvus

leadbeateri (Vigors) (Aves: Bucerotidae). Ann. Transvaal Mus. 32: 65–100. Latreille, P. A. 1825. Familles Naturelles du Régne Animal . . . J.-B. Baillière, Paris.

Lewis, A. & Pomeroy, D. 1989. A Bird Atlas of Kenya. A. A. Balkema, Rotterdam.

Maclean, G. L. 1985. Roberts' Birds of Southern Africa, 5th edn. Trustees John Voelcker Bird Book Fund, Cape Town.

Newman, K. 1983. Newman's Birds of Southern Africa, updated. Southern Book Publ., Johannesburg.

Ogilvie-Grant, W. R. & Sclater, W. R. 1892. Catalogue of the Birds of the British Museum. Vol. 17. British Mus. London.

Peters, J. L. 1945. Check-list of Birds of the World. Vol. 5. Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard.

Reichenow, A. & Schalow, H. 1882. Compendium der neu beshriebenen Gattungen und Arten. J. Orn. 30: 449-462.

Roberts, A. 1926. Some changes in nomenclature, new records of migrants and new forms of S. African birds. *Ann. Transvaal Mus.* 11: 217–225.

Roberts, A. 1931. Some new forms of South African birds. *Ann. Transvaal Mus.* 14: 237–245.

Roberts, A. 1940. The Birds of South Africa. H. F. and G. Witherby Ltd., Johannesburg.

Sanft, K. 1960. Aves/Upupae Bucerotidae. Das Tierreich 76: 1-174.

Schlegel, H. 1862. Museum d'histoire naturelle des Pays-bas. 1: Buceros. E. J. Brill, Leiden. Schmidl, D. 1982. The Birds of the Serengeti National Park Tanzania. B.O.U. Check-list

no. 5. British Ornithologists' Union. Sclater, W. L. 1924 and 1930. Systema Avium Ethiopicarum. A systematic list of the birds of

the Ethiopian region. Pt. 1 (1924), Pt. 2 (1930). British Ornithologists' Union.

Sherborn, C. D. 1898. On the dates of Temminck and Laugier's 'Planches coloriées.' Ibis(7) 4: 485-488.

Short, L. L., Horne, J. F. M. & Muringo-gichuki, C. 1990. Annotated check-list of the birds of East Africa. Proc. West. Found. Vert. Zool. 4: 61-246.

Sundevall, C. J. 1851. Foglr från Södra Africa. Öfv. Kongl. Vet.-Akad. Förh. 7: 96–111. Tarboton, W. R., Kemp, M. I. & Kemp, A. C. 1987. Birds of the Transvaal. Transvaal Mus., Pretoria. Vernon, C. J. 1986. The Ground Hornbill at the southern extremity of its range. *Ostrich* 57:

Vigors, N. A. 1825. Observations on the natural affinities that connect the orders and families of birds. Trans. Linn. Soc. London 14: 395-517.

Vincent, J. 1952. A Check List of the Birds of South Africa. Cape Times Ltd., Parow, Cape

Walters, M. 1980. The Complete Birds of the World. David and Charles, Newton Abbot. Williams, A. J. 1988. Popular Checklist of the Birds of South West Africa/Namibia. Dept. Agriculture and Nature Conservation, South West Africa.

Wood, D. S. & Schnell, G. D. 1986. Revised World Inventory of Avian Skeletal Specimens, 1986. American Orn. Union and Oklahoma Biol. Surv., Norman, OK.

Address: M. Ralph Browning, Biological Survey, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Museum of Natural History, Washington, D.C. 20560, U.S.A.

© British Ornithologists' Club 1992

Colonization of dry habitats by the Song Thrush *Turdus philomelos*: is the type of nest material an important constraint?

by Ludwik Tomialojć

Received 10 April 1991

Introduction

Being better adapted to nesting high in trees (when there is no bush layer), to feeding in drier places and more frequently in open areas (Siivonen 1939, Glutz & Bauer 1988, Tomialojć & Lontkowski, in prep.), the Song Thrush Turdus philomelos theoretically should cope better with life in dry, urban or Mediterranean habitats than the Blackbird T. merula. In fact, the opposite is the case, which constitutes an interesting ecological problem. It may be speculated that the reason may be either that some other constraint on its ecology outweighs the factors favourable to the Song Thrush, mentioned above, or that the Blackbird started to colonize these areas so much earlier that it has managed more thoroughly than the Song Thrush to adapt in other important (but less obvious) ways.